Asymmetric Brønsted acid catalysis in aqueous solution†
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A biologically inspired reaction design leads to the development of the first highly enantioselective Brønsted acid catalysed reaction in aqueous solution.

For a long time the use of water as a reaction medium in asymmetric catalysis has remained in the shadows. Often feared as a contaminant, water-free systems are frequently given priority. In response to Breslow’s work, in which the positive effect of water on the reactivity and selectivity in Diels–Alder reactions was proven, the situation has changed significantly.1 Multiple asymmetric metal catalysed reactions conducted in the presence of water have subsequently been developed.2 In addition to the solvent properties of water, significant industrial aspects come to the fore. Water is cheap, non-toxic, neither flammable nor explosive and has a high specific heat capacity which negates overheating in large industrial processes.

In asymmetric organocatalysis water has now achieved the status of being a topic for scientific discussion.3 However, the great potential of water as a reaction medium, as well as the need for optimized processes, remains undisputed. In the field of asymmetric organocatalysis only chiral amines, primarily proline and its derivatives, have excelled as potent Lewis base catalysts.4,5 These activate substrates through covalent bonds by iminium6–8 or enamine catalysis.9,10

Water as a reaction medium is unknown in asymmetric Brønsted acid catalysis. Ding and co-workers developed a Bronsted acid catalyzed Baeyer–Villiger reaction in chlorinated solvent employing aqueous hydrogen peroxide as reactant.7,10 Furthermore, Schneider et al. reported Bronsted acid catalyzed vinylogous Mannich reactions in which addition of one equivalent of water proved to be beneficial.11–12 Additionally, Schreiner and co-workers were recently able to demonstrate for the first time that activation via hydrogen-bond formation in the presence of water is possible despite water itself being an excellent H-bond donor/acceptor.8 This observation can be explained by hydrophobic effects.9 The non-covalent interactions are not based on the direct attractive intermolecular effects of the reactants but rather on the distinctive necessity of the water molecules to interact with one another.

The formation of such hydrogen bonds results in the non-polar components being aligned such that the contact surface between these molecules and water is minimized (hydrophobic hydration).

Complexing of the non-polar substances gives rise to increased structuring of the surrounding water molecules which is why entropic aspects as well as enthalpic aspects are the driving force behind the hydrophobic hydration.13 The result is an increase in reactant concentration which can accelerate the reaction rate compared to organic solvents.14

The principle of hydrophobic interaction plays an important role in many in vivo processes: enzyme–substrate interactions, protein folding and the formation of lipids in biomembranes are all controlled in such a manner.

The example of glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) clearly illustrates the principle.12 This ubiquitous enzyme catalyses the transformation of 2-ketoglutarate with ammonia in the presence of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) to form 1-glutamate. By changing conformation the active site of the enzyme is opened or closed enabling the reductive amination to occur under hydrophobic conditions.15 In the transition state the 2-imino glutarate is protonated by the aspartate 165 and a chiral iminium ion pair is formed. The iminium ion is then selectively hydrogenated under the hydrophobic conditions instead of being hydrolyzed.

The transfer of this enzymatic process to asymmetric Brønsted acid catalysis using chiral phosphoric acid diesters16 and Hantzsch dihydropyridine as a reductive source has been reported.17 However, these biomimetic systems are limited by the solvent choice. In order to “imitate” hydrophobic effects dry, non-polar solvents, chlorinated and aromatic solvents in particular, are required.

This is due to the competition between water as a strong hydrogen bond donor/acceptor and the chiral catalyst that induces selectivity through non-covalent interactions (Fig. 1, left). In order to resolve this conflict a strongly protected, chiral contact ion pair needs to be formed between the catalyst and the substrate in which the Coulombic interactions can overcome the competitive influence of water (Fig. 1, right).
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Furthermore, diametrical polarities of the ion pair and the reaction medium are required in order to achieve hydrophobic hydration and to avoid weakening of the contact ion pair. Hence, on the one hand the most non-polar reaction partners and catalysts are required and on the other hand the addition of inorganic salts to the aqueous reaction medium is needed in order to increase the polarity.\textsuperscript{1,6} Therefore, we assumed that under these conditions an enantioselective Brønsted acid catalysed reaction in the presence of water should be possible. Such a reaction would be the first organocatalytic example of a non-covalent asymmetric induction using water as a reaction medium.

The enantioselective Brønsted acid catalysed transfer hydrogenation of quinolines served as the starting point for our studies. Initial experiments examined the influence of water on the reactivity and enantioselectivity of this reaction as previously only non-polar aprotic, aromatic or chlorinated solvents have been used in Brønsted acid catalysis.

It was assumed that under aqueous reaction conditions the proton transfer from catalyst to the solvent would occur, resulting in non-specific activation of the substrate and leading to greatly reduced enantioselectivity. Therefore, in the first experiment the transfer hydrogenation was conducted in a two phase reaction comprising toluene and water (Table 1, entry 1). From our previous work it was known that the reduction proceeds with the transfer hydrogenation was conducted in a two phase reaction comprising toluene and water (Table 1, entry 1). From our previous work it was known that the reduction proceeds with the transfer hydrogenation was conducted in a two phase reaction comprising toluene and water (Table 1, entry 1). From our previous work it was known that the reduction proceeds with the transfer hydrogenation was conducted in a two phase reaction comprising toluene and water (Table 1, entry 1). From our previous work it was known that the reduction proceeds with the transfer hydrogenation was conducted in a two phase reaction comprising toluene and water (Table 1, entry 1). From our previous work it was known that the reduction proceeds with the transfer hydrogenation was conducted in a two phase reaction comprising toluene and water (Table 1, entry 1). From our previous work it was known that the reduction proceeds with the transfer hydrogenation was conducted in a two phase reaction comprising toluene and water (Table 1, entry 1). From our previous work it was known that the reduction proceeds with.

In a comparative study a homogeneous mixture of dioxane and water was applied under identical reaction conditions, as well as a control reaction using dry dioxane (Table 1, entries 2–3). In these one phase reactions the negative effect of water, compared to the aprotic variant, was increased. Therefore, it was particularly gratifying to observe that in pure water reactivity and even moderate enantioselectivity was observed, which confirms the hydrophobic hydration of the chiral ion pair (Table 1, entries 4–6). Discernibly better enantioselectivities were seen when saturated NaCl solution was used as a reaction medium, which is consistent with earlier observations (Table 1, entry 6).

### Table 1: Influence of water on the enantioselectivity of the Brønsted acid catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of quinolines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Yield (%)\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>ee (%)\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Toluene–H\textsubscript{2}O (1 : 1)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dioxane–H\textsubscript{2}O (1 : 1)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dioxane</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O, dist.</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O, demin.</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O, NaCl\textsubscript{(sat.)} (brine)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: quinoline 2, Hantzsch dihydropyridine 4a (2.4 equiv.) and 2 mol\% 1c, at 60 °C. \textsuperscript{b} Isolated yields after column chromatography. \textsuperscript{c} Determined by HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H).

In a further step the hydride source was optimized. Various substituted Hantzsch ester derivatives 4 were applied (Table 3) and use of the sterically demanding dihydropyridine 4c provided the best selectivities (Table 3, entry 3).

In order to further optimize the reaction parameters the catalyst loading, temperature and concentration were examined. In the latter the concentration of NaCl solution was varied in the range of 0.05–0.5 molar and it was noted that with decreasing concentration higher yields could be isolated. However, a notable influence on the enantioselectivity was not observed. A similar observation was made with the temperature: over a range of 40 to 70 °C almost constant optical purity of the isolated tetrahydroquinolines (89–92% ee) was achieved.

With these results in hand the substrate scope of this first asymmetric Brønsted acid catalysed reaction in aqueous media was examined (Table 4).

### Table 2: Catalyst optimization for the transfer hydrogenation of quinoline\textsuperscript{c}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Yield (%)\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>ee (%)\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>[H\textsubscript{2}]SiPh\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>9-Anthracenyl</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>2,4,6-(Pr)-Ph</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>3,5-(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}-Ph</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1e</td>
<td>9-Phenanthryl</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: quinoline 2, Hantzsch dihydropyridine 4a (2.4 equiv.) and 2 mol\% 1, at 60 °C. \textsuperscript{b} Isolated yields after column chromatography. \textsuperscript{c} Determined by HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H).

### Table 3: Evaluation of the Hantzsch-ester derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Yield (%)\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>ee (%)\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>Ethyl</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>Allyl</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4c</td>
<td>t-Butyl</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4d</td>
<td>Benzyl</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: quinoline 2, Hantzsch dihydropyridine 4 (2.4 equiv.) and 2 mol\% 1c, in brine at 60 °C. \textsuperscript{b} Isolated yields after column chromatography. \textsuperscript{c} Determined by HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H).
In general, for the first time it was possible to isolate diverse aromatic and heteroaromatic tetrahydroquinolines with various substituents in good yields and with high enantioselectivities (Table 4). Compared to our previous results based on catalyst 1e in water-free benzene, these reactions conducted in aqueous NaCl solution were not only surprisingly consistent in terms of the enantioselectivities achieved but enable ecological and economically advantageous reaction processes.

Subsequent to demonstrating for the first time that highly enantioselective Bronsted acid catalysis can be performed with water as the reaction medium, we decided to further demonstrate the application of this methodology in the transfer hydrogenation of cyclic imine 5. Using the optimized reaction conditions we were able to directly obtain chiral amine 6 with an enantiomeric excess of 90% (Scheme 1).18

In order to gain more information on the mechanism and to determine the source of the proton in the enamine–imine tautomerisation step of the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation in aqueous media, we applied deuterated water as a reaction medium (Scheme 2). Formally, the reaction sequence involves a 1,4-hydride addition, protonation, and 1,2-hydride addition. In the following experiment the single (10) and double (11) deuterated tetrahydroquinoline were isolated almost exclusively.

Therefore, it can be assumed that in aqueous reaction media the dihydropyridine acts exclusively as a hydride source and not as the proton source. Further, the deuteration experiment shows that the enantioselective Bronsted acid catalysed transfer hydrogenation described not only occurs in the presence of water but that water plays an important role in the reaction procedure.

The good stereoinduction of the Bronsted acid 1e is exemplified by the molecular structures of the catalysts. For the first time we were able to determine the X-ray crystal structure of 1e (Fig. 2, left). The large isopropyl substituents act as a hydrophobic pocket in which the active centre of the catalyst is encased.19 In contrast to the open and water-accessible phenanthryl substituted phosphoric acid diester 1e (Fig. 2, right), this allows a stable contact ion pair to be formed which results in improved enantioselectivities and thereby enables the first asymmetric reaction procedure in aqueous reaction media.

In summary we have developed the first highly enantioselective Bronsted acid catalysed reaction using water as a reaction medium employing the principle of hydrophobic hydration. Further, it represents the first example in the field of organocatalysis of a non-covalent asymmetric induction conducted in a pure aqueous reaction solvent. This enantioselective Bronsted acid catalysed activation, previously considered impossible, provides, in the transfer hydrogenation described, an efficient route to 2-substituted tetrahydroquinolines or cyclic amines in good yields and with excellent enantioselectivities. The ecologically and economically advantageous reaction medium, water, further simplifies this already practical method and makes this reduction an attractive synthesis possibility for optically active tetrahydroquinolines and amines. Application on an industrial scale is also possible as the synthetic and biocatalytic recycling of dihydropyridines in water is already feasible. Water as a reaction medium no longer excludes asymmetric Bronsted acid catalysis and it is only a question of time until further examples in this area are developed.
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Notes and references

18 In order to obtain the product and to use as little organic solvent as possible the aqueous solution was evaporated and directly filtered through silica.
19 CPK views of the catalysts show clearly the steric demands and are shown in the ESI†.